Possibilities and Limits of Avalanche Lasing on the Green Er$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$ Transition
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Abstract

In computer simulations the population mechanisms of the room-temperature continuous-wave green upconversion Er$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$ laser are investigated. An avalanche process is the dominating excitation mechanism, but it also counteracts stimulated emission at higher dopant concentrations. This concentration dependence can be considered as a behaviour present in many rare-earth-doped avalanche lasers.

Introduction

One of the interests in solid-state laser physics has focused on diode-pumped upconversion lasers for possible applications in the field of data storage. Stimulated emission on the green erbium transition $^4S_{3/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ at cryogenic temperatures has first been reported in 1972 [1]. In diode-pumped upconversion-lasing experiments considerably high output powers have been achieved [2]. The first report on room-temperature lasing in Er$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$ at 551 nm [3] has evoked further efforts in the investigation of this laser. Recently upconversion-pumped cw-laser operation has been demonstrated [4,5] with a laser threshold in the range of 1 W. However, the understanding of the processes that lead to cw lasing is far from being complete.

In this contribution the complicated population mechanisms of the Er$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$ laser system are analyzed in a computer simulation. The rate-equation scheme considers all excited levels up to $^2H_{9/2}$, ground-state depletion, excited-state absorption (ESA) on the pump and laser wavelength, three upconversion processes as well as their inverse processes, stimulated emission, and the crystal and resonator data of the experiments. An avalanche process appears to be the dominant excitation mechanism of the upper laser level, but the same process also limits the possible range of dopant concentration due to the quenching of the lifetime of the upper laser level. This limitation seems to be a general aspect of many avalanche lasers.

Computer Simulation

The labelling of the levels considers the energetic order of the Er$^{3+}$ level system (see Fig. 1). Since the $^2H_{11/2}$ and $^4S_{3/2}$ levels as well as the $^4F_{5/2}$ and $^4F_{3/2}$ levels are thermally coupled with each other they are treated as combined levels with a Boltzmann-population distribution. The Er$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$ parameter set used in our rate-equation model is taken from Refs. [3-7]. The intrinsic lifetimes of the levels (see Fig. 1) include radiative transitions and multiphonon relaxation. The radiative transition rates are known from a Judd-Olfet calculation [6]. The nonradiative transition rates and the branching ratios $\beta_{ij}$ are calculated as shown in Ref. [8].

Three ion-ion interactions $W_{ij}$ are taken into account (see Fig. 1). The parameters $W_{11}$ and $W_{22}$ of the sample doped with 1% Er$^{3+}$ are assumed to have half the values measured [7] for the 10% sample. The parameter $W_{50}$ is derived from our computer simulation. The inverse processes (not shown in Fig. 1) are also considered. Since for the processes $W_{22}$ and $W_{50}$ there is a full spectral overlap between the involved manifold transitions the normal and inverse parameters are assumed to have the same values. For $W_{11}$ there is only a phonon-assisted overlap.
The processes $^4I_{13/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ and $^4I_{13/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{9/2}$ have stronger transitions in the relevant area than the inverse processes due to different Boltzmann populations of the same transition in absorption and emission. A brief evaluation of normal and inverse parameter considers the assistance of one phonon with energy $h\nu_{ph} = 400$ cm⁻¹ and includes all Stark transitions in the phonon-assisted overlap region with the same atomic cross section and the Boltzmann populations of the initial levels at 300 K. Summing all contributions for the normal and the inverse process results in $W_{11} = 2.5 \times W_{30}$, which is tentatively used for the determination of $W_{30}$. With the assumption $W_{11} = W_{30}$ the experimental results could not be reproduced.

The following set of parameters [4,5] is used in the simulation: crystal length $l = 4$ mm, dopant concentration $N_0 = 1.37 \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻³, a concentric resonator of optical length $l_{opt} = 0.1$ m, losses due to scattering and diffraction $L_r = 0.05$. The erbium ions are pumped with a Ti:sapphire laser at wavelength $\lambda_p = 810$ nm by GSA $^4I_{15/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{9/2}$ (cross section $\sigma_{03} = 8.5 \times 10^{-22}$ cm²) and ESA $^4I_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4F_{3/2}$ ($\sigma_{27} = 5 \times 10^{-21}$ cm²). No significant ESA from the $^4I_{13/2}$ level occurs at 810 nm [9]. The transmission of the incoupling optics is $\eta_l = 0.9$, the average radius of the laser beam within the crystal $r_{mode} = 20$ μm, the overlap between pump and laser mode $\eta_B = 0.9$.

The laser transition starts from the second Stark level of $^4S_{3/2}$ (Boltzmann population $b_{32} = 0.402$ at 300 K, degeneracy $g_{32} = 2$) and terminates in the eighth Stark level of $^4I_{15/2}$ ($b_{15} = 0.220, g_{15} = 2$) into $^2H_{9/2}$ ($b_{84} = 0.142, g_{84} = 2$) are considered dynamically with $\sigma_{84} = 5 \times 10^{-22}$ cm². The transmission of the outcoupling mirror is $T = 3\%$.

The rate equations for the population densities $N_i$, the photon density $\phi$, the stimulated-emission rate $R_{stE}$, and the reabsorption rate $R_{reabs}$ are given by

$$dN_i/dt = R_{reabs} - \tau_{i-1}N_i - \tau_i N_i$$ (1)
$$dN_7/dt = R_{27} N_2 - \tau_7 N_7 + \tau_8 \gamma_8 - 1 N_8$$ (2)
$$dN_6/dt = -\tau_6 - 1 N_6 + \Sigma_{i=7,8} \beta_i \gamma_i - 1 N_i$$
$$+ W_{22} N_2 N_0 - W_{60} N_0 N_0$$ (3)
$$dN_5/dt = -\tau_5 - 1 N_5 + \Sigma_{i=6,8} \beta_i \gamma_i - 1 N_i$$
$$- W_{50} N_5 N_0 + W_{13} N_1 N_3 - R_{stE}$$ (4)
$$dN_4/dt = -\tau_4 - 1 N_4 + \Sigma_{i=5,8} \beta_i \gamma_i - 1 N_i$$ (5)
$$dN_3/dt = -R_{03} N_0 - \tau_3 - 1 N_3 + \Sigma_{i=4,8} \beta_i \gamma_i - 1 N_i$$
$$+ W_{50} N_5 N_0 - W_{13} N_1 N_3$$
$$+ W_{11} N_1^2 - W_{30} N_3 N_0$$ (6)
$$dN_2/dt = -R_{27} N_2 - \tau_2 - 1 N_2 + \Sigma_{i=3,8} \beta_i \gamma_i - 1 N_i$$
$$- 2W_{22} N_2^2 + 2W_{60} N_6 N_0$$ (7)
$$dN_1/dt = -\tau_1 - 1 N_1 + \Sigma_{i=2,8} \beta_i \gamma_i - 1 N_i$$
$$+ W_{50} N_5 N_0 - W_{13} N_1 N_3$$

Figure 1. Energy level scheme of $\text{Er}^{3+}(1\%):\text{LiYF}_4$ indicating the processes which are relevant for the population and deexcitation of the $^4S_{3/2}$ upper laser level at the pump wavelength $\lambda_p = 810$ nm. (a) Excitation of the upper laser level, (b) the avalanche effect, and (c) stimulated emission and ESA on the laser wavelength.
The equation for the pump rates including ESA and bleaching of the ground state is

\[
\frac{dN_0}{dt} = -R_{03}N_0 + \sum_i = 1,8 (\beta_{i0} N_i) + W_{50}N_5N_0 + W_{13}N_1N_3 + W_{11}N_1^2 - W_{30}N_0N_3 + W_{22}N_2^2 - W_{60}N_0N_6 + R_{\text{reabs}} 
\]

The rate-equation system is solved in a Runge-Kutta calculation of fourth order. The investigation of the population mechanisms leads to results explained in the following paragraphs.

**Pump Excitation of the Upper Laser Level**

At the pump wavelength 810 nm the GSA \( ^4I_{15/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{9/2} \) populates the \( ^4I_{11/2} \) level via multiphonon relaxation. The ESA from the \( ^4I_{11/2} \) level and the upconversion \( W_{22} \) populate the upper laser level \( ^4S_{3/2} \), see the left part of Fig. 1. The best overlap between GSA and ESA cross sections leads to the highest laser output at 551 nm, in agreement with experiments [4,5].

A stronger upconversion \( W_{22} \) is decreasing the laser output due to the following reason. Owing to the low dopant concentration and the small GSA cross section only a small part of the pump power is absorbed in the crystal. An increase of the population of either the GSA or the ESA pump level linearly increases the absorbed pump power on the corresponding transition. The upconversion \( W_{22} \) removes two excitations from the ESA pump level, but transfers only one of them into the upper laser level. Without upconversion both excitations could be pumped via ESA into the upper laser level.

**The Avalanche Effect**

Since the GSA is weak the two-step excitation of the upper laser level via GSA and ESA would only lead to a small inversion on the laser transition. Therefore, other excitation mechanisms gain importance. The computer simulation gives evidence that an avalanche process strongly supports the excitation of the crystal, see the central part of Fig. 1. The cross relaxation \( W_{50} \) from the upper laser level repopulates the ESA pump level. In addition it excites the \( ^4I_{13/2} \) level from the ground state. Half of this additional excitation is transferred into the ESA pump level by an upconversion. Although the parameter \( W_{11} \) for this upconversion has a small value at 1 % Er\(^{3+} \) concentration, the long \( ^4I_{13/2} \) lifetime and its high population due to the cross relaxation lead to a strong upconversion rate.

One avalanche cycle starts with two excitations in the upper laser level and finishes with three excitations in the upper laser level (see Fig. 1), which is an enhancement factor of 1.5. At moderate pump powers this excitation channel is more efficient than the weak GSA. An intensity threshold observed in other systems [11,12,13,14] for the onset of the avalanche effect cannot be observed in this case because GSA is present.
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Figure 2. The dependence of output power on dopant concentration for different parameters of the cross relaxation \( W_{50} \) from the \( ^4S_{3/2} \) upper laser level. At 1 % dopant concentration a stronger cross relaxation increases the laser output which demonstrates the avalanche effect. The value 600x10^{-14}cm^3s^{-1} corresponds to the experimentally observed quenching of the output power with rising dopant concentration.
The Concentration Dependence

The cross relaxation $W_{50}$ leads to efficient avalanche lasing at low dopant concentrations. On the other hand, this cross relaxation depletes the upper laser level [15]. The effect of the cross relaxation $W_{50}$ at different dopant concentrations is shown in Fig. 2: At 1% conc., a stronger cross relaxation increases the laser output, which demonstrates the avalanche effect. At considerably higher dopant concentrations, the rate of $W_{50}$ successfully competes with stimulated emission. This decreases the output power and limits the possible range of dopant concentration. The parameter which reproduces the experimental results for the laser output at 1% conc. and gives a good explanation of the experimentally observed concentration dependence is $W_{50} = W_{13} = 6 \times 10^{-22}$ m$^3$s$^{-1}$ (thick line in Fig. 2).

Owing to the quenching effect of the cross relaxation, a considerably higher erbium concentration cannot be used for the enhancement of the GSA and ESA. This would otherwise be useful for a better pump absorption and would increase the laser output.

General Aspects of Avalanche Excitation

The photon-avalanche effect has been observed in several rare-earth-doped solid-state materials, e.g., in Pr$^{3+}$:LaCl$_3$ [11], Tm$^{3+}$:YAG [12,13], and Nd$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$ [14]. The different enhancement factors of 1.5 for Er$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$, 2 for Pr$^{3+}$:LaCl$_3$ and Nd$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$, and 3 for Tm$^{3+}$:YAG are compared in the excitation schemes of Figs. 3 and 4.

The strong cross relaxation required for the avalanche effect must start from a highly populated (metastable) level. In rare-earth ions, there can be found only a few metastable levels in the visible energy range above the ground state. Therefore, a coincidence of the initial levels of cross relaxation and stimulated emission and the quenching of the lifetime of the upper laser level at higher dopant concentrations is very likely to occur. In three examples of Figs. 3 and 4, the coincidence is present. In erbium, the cross relaxation level is thermally connected to the upper laser level. The concentration dependence which has been observed in the case of Er$^{3+}$ and Nd$^{3+}$ can be considered as a general behaviour of many avalanche lasers.

---

Figure 3. Avalanche effect with enhancement factors of 1.5 in Er$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$ (left hand side) and 3 in Tm$^{3+}$:YAG (right hand side).

Figure 4. Avalanche effect with an enhancement factor of 2 in Pr$^{3+}$:LaCl$_3$ (left hand side) and Nd$^{3+}$:LiYF$_4$ (right hand side).
Conclusions

We have analyzed the population mechanisms of the room-temperature cw Er\textsuperscript{3+}:LiYF\textsubscript{4} laser at 551 nm. An avalanche effect which exploits the strong cross relaxation from the upper laser level and the upconversion from \textit{4}I\textsubscript{13/2} is mainly responsible for the population of the ESA pump level. At higher dopant concentrations the cross relaxation becomes detrimental to stimulated emission due to the depletion of the upper laser level. This concentration dependence can be considered as a general feature of many rare-earth-doped avalanche lasers.
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